arXiv:cond-mat/0301489v1 24 Jan 2003

Comment on "Ramsey Fringes in a Bose-Einstein Condensate between Atoms and Molecules"

In Ref. [1], Donley et al. described an experiment at JILA that demonstrated atom-molecule coherence in a Bose-Einstein condensate. In a subsequent paper [2], Kokkelmans and Holland (K&H) interpreted the results of Ref. [1] using a mean-field approximation to a resonance field theory involving the atom condensate $\phi_a(\mathbf{r})$, the molecular condensate $\phi_m(\mathbf{r})$, and the normal and anomalous densities $G_N(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2)$ and $G_A(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2)$. Fig. 3 of K&H shows $|\phi_a|^2$ and $|\phi_m|^2$ as functions of time for the pulse sequence in Fig. 2. During the evolve time when the scattering length a is constant, $|\phi_a|^2$ oscillates around 0.80 with an amplitude of about 0.02, while $|\phi_m|^2$ oscillates around 0.00325 with an amplitude of about 0.00030. During the subsequent second pulse, which takes a first much closer to and then farther from the Feshbach resonance, $|\phi_m|^2$ decreases by a factor of 26 and then increases by a factor of 118. The interpretation of K&H is that the molecular condensate density is orders of magnitude smaller than the atom condensate density during the evolve time and that it changes dramatically during the second pulse. They conclude that the observed oscillations involve a coherent flow of atoms between the atom condensate and the noncondensate atoms, with the molecular condensate playing only a minor role.

This interpretation is at best an awkward way of describing the physics. It is important to distinguish between the diatomic molecule in the absence of hyperfine interactions (the "Feshbach molecule") and in the presence of those interactions (the "dimer"). The dimer is the energy eigenstate, and its binding energy near the Feshbach resonance where a diverges is $E_2 \approx \hbar^2/ma^2$. The dimer can be expressed as a superposition of the Feshbach molecule and a 2-atom state: it is mostly a Feshbach molecule far from the Feshbach resonance and mostly a 2-atom state near the Feshbach resonance. If there is a molecular condensate, it will be a condensate of the dimers. Within the mean-field formalism of K&H, the appropriate expression for the number density of atoms in the dimer condensate is $2Z^{-1}|\phi_m|^2$, where the renormalization constant Z is the probability for the dimer to be a Feshbach molecule.

One can obtain an analytic expression for Z in the resonance field theory, because it only requires solving a 2-body problem with contact interactions:

$$Z^{-1} = 1 + \frac{m^2 g_0^2}{16\pi\hbar^3 (m|E_2|)^{1/2}} \left[1 - a_{\rm bg}(m|E_2|/\hbar^2)^{1/2}\right]^{-2},$$

where g_0 is a coupling constant, $a_{\rm bg}$ is the off-resonant scattering length, and E_2 is the binding energy of the dimer. The exact result for $E_2 = \hbar^2\kappa^2/m$ is obtained by solving a cubic equation:

$$(\kappa^2 + m\nu_0/\hbar^2)\,[1 - a_{\rm bg}\kappa] + (m^2 g_0^2/8\pi\hbar^4)\kappa = 0.$$

The approximation of K&H takes into account some many-body effects, but they are small enough in the JILA experiment that the 2-body results for Z^{-1} and E_2 are accurate. Using the parameters in K&H, we find that Z^{-1} is 18.3 during the evolve time, and during the second pulse it increases to 1280 and then decreases to 3.7. The resulting estimate $2Z^{-1}|\phi_m|^2$ for the number density of atoms in the dimer condensate during the evolve time oscillates around 0.119 with an amplitude of about 0.011. The mean value is about $\frac{1}{7}$ the atom condensate density $|\phi_a|^2$, and the amplitude of the oscillation is more than $\frac{1}{2}$ that of $|\phi_a|^2$. The changes in Z^{-1} during the second pulse cancel most of the dramatic changes in $|\phi_m|^2$, so that $2Z^{-1}|\phi_m|^2$ does not change dramatically. In the pulse before the evolve time, a large fraction of the atoms must have been transferred coherently from the atom condensate to the dimer condensate by the strong resonant interaction near the Feshbach resonance. We believe a more accurate treatment would reveal that the oscillations observed during the evolve time involve the coherent flow of atoms between the two condensates, with noncondensate atoms playing only a minor role.

Our criticism of the interpretation of K&H does not invalidate the agreement between their results and the measurements of Ref. [1]. K&H found quantitative agreement between their results for $|\phi_a|^2$ after the second pulse and the number density of the remnant BEC in the JILA experiment. They also found qualitative agreement between their results for $G_N(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r})$ after the second pulse and the number density of burst atoms in the experiment. In the formalism of K&H, conservation of atoms implies $G_N(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = n - |\phi_a|^2 - 2|\phi_m|^2$, where n is the total number density of atoms. After the second pulse, the system is relatively far from the Feshbach resonance, so Z is close to 1 and $G_N(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r})$ is close to $n - |\phi_a|^2 - 2Z^{-1}|\phi_m|^2$, which is the correct expression for the number density of noncondensate atoms. Thus qualitative agreement between $G_N(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r})$ and the number density of burst atoms is to be expected.

Eric Braaten,[1] H.-W. Hammer,[2] and M. Kusunoki[1]
[1]Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
[2]Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Universität Bonn, 53115 Bonn, Germany

Received:
DOI:
PACS numbers: 03.75.Fi, 67.60.-g, 74.20.-z

[1] E.A. Donley *et al.*, Nature **417**, 529 (2002).
[2] S.J.J.M.F. Kokkelmans and M.J. Holland, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 180401 (2002).